

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 * * *

4 FIRST 100, LLC,

5 Plaintiff,

6 v.

7 FEDERAL HOME LOAN MORTGAGE
8 CORPORATION, et al.,

9 Defendants.

Case No. 2:15-cv-01303-APG-PAL


**ORDER ACCEPTING REPORT AND
RECOMMENDATION AND
DISMISSING THE PLAINTIFF'S
COMPLAINT**

(ECF No. 54)

10
11 On March 7, 2018, Magistrate Judge Leen issued a report and recommendation in which
12 she recommends that I dismiss plaintiff First 100, LLC's complaint because First 100 has failed
13 to comply with court orders that it obtain counsel and has not kept the court apprised of a current
14 address. First 100 did not file an objection. Thus, I am not obligated to conduct a de novo review
15 of the report and recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (requiring district courts to "make a de
16 novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings to which
17 objection is made"); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc)
18 ("the district judge must review the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations de novo *if*
19 *objection is made*, but not otherwise" (emphasis in original)).

20 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Magistrate Judge Leen's report and recommendation
21 **(ECF No. 54) is accepted.** Plaintiff First 100, LLC's complaint is DISMISSED with prejudice.
22 The defendants' counterclaims remain pending subject to the stay previously entered. *See* ECF
23 No. 42.

24 DATED this 2nd day of April, 2018.

25
26 
27 ANDREW P. GORDON
28 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE